




# Calendar-Year Program Report



Program Title: Accounting  
Calendar Year: 2021

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Aggregate Report (entered by director of assessment) .....2  
Discussion of Results (completed at symposia).....3  
Data-Driven Decisions (completed at symposia) .....4  
Resource Allocation Requests (completed at symposia) .....5  
Suggestions for Improving the Assessment Process (completed at symposia) .....6  
  
Appendix: Qualitative and Contextual Information from Taskstream (entered by director of assessment) .....7

**AGGREGATE REPORT**

**Assessment Results - AACU VALUE Rubric for ISLO 4 SR – Ethical Reasoning**

**PSLO 6 – Teamwork and Leadership**

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Course</u>	<u>Sections Participating</u>	<u>Total Measures</u>	<u>Outcome</u>	<u>Semester</u>
ACCT	300			No Measures/Findings Entered	
ACCT	335	2	9	Not Met	Fall 2021
<b><u>Program Title Courses - Overall Findings for Ethical Reasoning</u></b>					
Total Sections Selected for Assessment				2	
Total Measures Assessed				9	
% Sections Meeting or Exceeding Target (of those assessed)				0%	
<b><u>Recommendations, Reflections, and Notes:</u></b>					
Appended.					

**Assessment Results - AACU VALUE Rubric for ISLO 4: SR – Global Learning**

**PSLO 5 – Analytical Tools**

**KLO 5 – Analytical Tools**

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Course</u>	<u>Sections Participating</u>	<u>Total Measures</u>	<u>Outcome</u>	<u>Semester</u>
ACCT	306	1		Exceeded	Fall 2021
ACCT	430 (M)			No Measures/Findings Entered	
<b><u>Program Title Courses - Overall Findings for Global Learning</u></b>					
Total Sections Selected for Assessment				2	
Total Measures Assessed				1	
% Sections Meeting or Exceeding Target (of those assessed)				100%	
<b><u>Recommendations, Reflections, and Notes:</u></b>					
Appended.					

## DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

**Directions:** This portion of the document is designed to provide context for results, to discuss individual instructors' input on whether or not the methods they are using are effective.

Some questions to consider: is the assessment process for the outcome you're assessing sufficiently robust?

Are enough sections of the course being assessed to represent an accurate portrayal of program success?

If the targets have been met, might they be raised in the future?

If targets are consistently exceeded, might the assignment be made more demanding to challenge students effectively?

ACCT 335:

Students who did not score at least 80% also failed to complete one or more practice exams offered prior to the final. In future semesters it will be important to encourage all students to complete the practice examinations in preparation for the final.

ACCT 306:

**Recommendations:** Given that the students comfortably exceeded the target, and the only student who failed the target came very close to achieving the target, I would recommend that we consider increasing the base-line target.

**Reflections/Notes:** I am not surprised that all but one student exceeded the target because, students who sit the cost accounting course are normally very mature accounting students.

## DATA DRIVEN DECISIONS

**Directions:** What will you change as a result of the data?

**If targets are not met:** best practices suggest we make changes to course content, rubrics, or the assessment process, and spend the next cycle year reviewing the courses for which we didn't meet targets *in addition to* the PSLOs up for that cycle year. If there are problems, we want to catch them quickly. So, if targets are not met, what will be changed, and what is the timeline for addressing the issue?

**If targets are met:** Many people are under the impression that as long as targets are met, then there is no need to make changes. As evidenced in the directions in the previous section, we can still make changes. Perhaps a new target would be appropriate? Perhaps the assignment should be more challenging for students? Perhaps a more robust measurement of the PSLO or ISLO could be made?

## RESOURCE ALLOCATION REQUESTS

### RESOURCE ALLOCATION REQUEST FORM

#### Guidelines for Request:

1. Please ensure the request is linked to learning outcomes (course, program, and/or institutional)
2. Complete this form and send it to your academic dean for review and potential consideration at Provost's Cabinet.

#### PROPOSAL INFORMATION:

Applicant's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Program Title: \_\_\_\_\_

- a. Please describe the request (what is the problem that the request is trying to solve?)
- b. Describe and or list the resource(s) you hope to acquire as a result of this request. (For instance, are you looking for course materials, additional instructors, etc.? What is the problem that this request is trying to solve?)
- c. How is the request linked to learning outcomes assessment?
- d. Please include any data that will help support this request (learning outcomes data)
- e. Describe briefly your follow-up assessment (currently we assess on a three-year cycle, but learning outcomes that are addressed with resource allocation should be assessed again as soon as possible to determine the viability and sustainability of resource allocation)
- f. Please include any alternative sources of funding you have considered for this initiative (grants, different pools of money on campus, etc.)
- g. Approximately how many students do you anticipate will be served by this request each Academic year?
- h. Total Amount Requested: \_\_\_\_\_

## SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVING THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS

**Directions:** some proposed areas of improvement might include: collection of data, distribution of data, what kinds of data is included/omitted, timelines, when or how work is completed, delegation of responsibilities, etc.

**APPENDIX A: QUALITATIVE AND CONTEXTUAL INFORMATION FROM TASKSTREAM**