# Calendar-Year Program Report



Program Title: Engineering Science Calendar Year: 2021

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Aggregate Report (entered by director of assessment)	.2
Discussion of Results (completed at symposia)	
Data-Driven Decisions (completed at symposia)	.4
Resource Allocation Requests (completed at symposia)	
Suggestions for Improving the Assessment Process (completed at symposia)	.6
Appendix: Qualitative and Contextual Information from Taskstream (entered by director assessment)	

## AGGREGATE REPORT

Assessment Results - AACU VALUE Rubric for ISLO 4					
Subject	Course	<b>Sections Participating</b>	Total	Outcome	Semester
			Measures		
	Ethical Reasoning				
ENGS	101	1	1	100% Met	Spring
ENGS	101	1	1	100% Exceeded	Fall
ENGS	264			No Measures/No Findings	
<b>Progra</b> i	Program Title Courses - Overall Findings for PSLO 6				
Total Sections Selected for Assessment				3	
Total Measures Assessed			2		
% Sections Meeting or Exceeding Target (of tho		those assessed)	100%		
Recomr	Recommendations, Reflections, and				
Notes:	Notes:				
Appended.					

	Engineering Science – Assessment Report – 2021, 3
Discussion	ON OF RESULTS
Discussio	IN OF RESULTS
<b>Directions:</b> This portion of the document is de	esigned to provide context for results, to discuss
individual instructors' input on whether or not	
Some questions to consider: is the assessment	process for the outcome you're assessing
sufficiently robust?	
Are enough sections of the course being assess	sed to represent an accurate portrayal of program
success?	
If the targets have been met might they be might	sad in the future?
If the targets have been met, might they be rais	sea in the future?
If targets are consistently exceeded, might the	assignment be made more demanding to challenge
students effectively?	_

#### **DATA DRIVEN DECISIONS**

**Directions:** What will you change as a result of the data?

**If targets are not met:** best practices suggest we make changes to course content, rubrics, or the assessment process, and spend the next cycle year reviewing the courses for which we didn't meet targets *in addition to* the PSLOs up for that cycle year. If there are problems, we want to catch them quickly. So, if targets are not met, what will be changed, and what is the timeline for addressing the issue?

If targets are met: Many people are under the impression that as long as targets are met, then there is no need to make changes. As evidenced in the directions in the previous section, we can still make changes. Perhaps a new target would be appropriate? Perhaps the assignment should be more challenging for students? Perhaps a more robust measurement of the PSLO or ISLO could be made?

#### RESOURCE ALLOCATION REQUESTS

## RESOURCE ALLOCATION REQUEST FORM

### **Guidelines for Request:**

- 1. Please ensure the request is linked to learning outcomes (course, program, and/or institutional)
- 2. Complete this form and send it to your academic dean for review and potential consideration at Provost's Cabinet.

Applica	DSAL INFORMATION: ant's Name: m Title:
a.	Please describe the request (what is the problem that the request is trying to solve?)
b.	Describe and or list the resource(s) you hope to acquire as a result of this request. (For instance, are you looking for course materials, additional instructors, etc.? What is the problem that this request is trying to solve?)
c.	How is the request linked to learning outcomes assessment?
d.	Please include any data that will help support this request (learning outcomes data)
e.	Describe briefly your follow-up assessment (currently we assess on a three-year cycle, but learning outcomes that are addressed with resource allocation should be assessed again as soon as possible to determine the viability and sustainability of resource allocation)
f.	Please include any alternative sources of funding you have considered for this initiative (grants, different pools of money on campus, etc.)
g.	Approximately how many students do you anticipate will be served by this request each Academic year?

	Engineering Science – Assessment Report – 2021, 6	
h. Total Amount Requested:		
SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVI	NG THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS	
<b>Directions:</b> some proposed areas of improvement might include: collection of data, distribution of data, what kinds of data is included/omitted, timelines, when or how work is completed, delegation of responsibilities, etc.		

	Engineering Science – Assessment Report – 2021, 7
APPENDIX A: QUALITATIVE AND CONTEX	TUAL INFORMATION FROM TASKSTREAM