

Prior Learning Credit - Faculty Committee Review & Evaluation

Student Course Section – Directive:

Directions:

For each Student Learning Outcome, list 1-3 relevant artifacts [these items will need to be included in the course section], following each table. In some instances, you may opt to address more than one Student Learning Outcome with one relevant artifact. Note: Lengthy narratives are not required for every Student Learning Outcome and artifact. For example, supporting evidence may be completion of a relevant training. In this case, you can list the title of the training and date of completion, with a copy [image] of the Certificate of Completion.

Supporting Narratives:

For Student Learning Outcomes, demonstrate your understanding of content; provide explanations of how learning has been achieved and how such understanding directly applies to each Student Learning Outcome, be sure to reference and make a correlation to the artifacts you selected to support your prior learning. This will be individualized, you may feel one narrative adequately supports multiple SLO's, or you may opt to offer short narratives for all SLO's with supporting evidence.

Faculty Committee Evaluator:

The "assessment criteria" in this rubric are designed to evaluate whether a portfolio provides clear evidence that a student who is requesting credit has mastered course learning outcomes and competencies.

The student should be scored on each of these sets of expectations, based on the following assessment ratings: Does not meet expectations 0 points Partially meets expectations 1 point Meets expectations 2 points Exceeds expectations 3 points Guidance for all ratings is provided in the assessment rubric. The recommended cut score for a successful (i.e., passing) portfolio is 12, with a score of at least 1 in each of the six assessment criteria.

See Portfolio for course description, student learning outcomes, narratives and supporting documentation. evidence A separate Course Evaluation will be completed by each evaluator for each course for which PLC is being sought.



Prior Learning Credit Faculty Committee Review & Evaluation

| | | | Total Credits Seekin | ng: | | | |
|--------------------------|---|------------------|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | | | Courses for Review: | | | | |
| Criteria | Def | Evaluator Rating | | | | Score | |
| Personal Narrative | "The Personal Narrative should offer the Prior Learning Credit [PLC] Reviewer's information pertaining to the experience; personal and professional you have had to warrant college credit and exemption(s) from the courses for which you are seeking credit. This narrative is a critical component of your portfolio and application. Often, it may be helpful to compose this after you have gathered supporting documentation and completed the course-by- course sections. This will allow you to capture and demonstrate all you have accomplished and learned." | | 0 Does Not Meet Expectations | 1 Partially Meets Expectations | 2 Meets Expectations | 3 Exceeds Expectations | |
| Content | Present | Not Present | | | | | |
| Resume | | | | | | | |
| Letter of Support [1] | | | | | | | |
| Letter of Support [2] | | | | | | | |

|--|

The "assessment criteria" in this rubric are designed to evaluate whether a portfolio provides clear evidence that a student who is requesting credit has mastered course learning outcomes and competencies. The student should be scored on each of these sets of expectations, based on the following assessment ratings: Does not meet expectations 0 points Partially meets expectations 1 point Meets expectations 2 points Exceeds expectations 3 points Guidance for all ratings is provided in the assessment rubric. The recommended cut score for a successful (i.e., passing) portfolio is 12, with a score of at least 1 in each of the six assessment criteria.

| COURSE: | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|-------|
| Assessment Ratings | 0 Does Not Meet Expectations | 1 Partially Meets Expectations | 2 Meets Expectations | 3 Exceeds Expectations | Score |
| Sources of Learning experience relevant to learning outcomes | Documentation and description of learning experiences related to student learning outcomes are lacking or substantially inadequate | Documentation and description of learning experiences related to student learning outcomes are not effectively or completely presented | Documentation and description of learning experiences related to student learning outcomes are appropriate and effectively presented | Documentation and description of learning experiences related to student learning outcomes exceed expectations | |
| Demonstration of Learning artifacts | The portfolio's materials and artifacts are not appropriate and/or adequate , and are not supported by the presentation | The portfolio materials and artifacts are not fully supported by or connected to the course learning outcomes | The portfolio includes appropriate artifacts that support the demonstration of learning outcomes | The presentation of artifacts is convincing, with strong support for the course's learning outcomes | |
| Evidence of Learning competencies | The portfolio shows little, or no evidence of learning tied to sound educational theory | The portfolio documents some, but not sufficient , learning tied to sound educational theory (or grounded in appropriate academic frameworks) | The portfolio adequately documents learning tied to sound educational theory (or grounded in appropriate academic frameworks) | The portfolio provides clear evidence of learning tied to sound educational theory (or grounded in appropriate academic frameworks) | |
| Mastering Knowledge and Skills application of learning | The portfolio provides little evidence of the student's ability to use knowledge and skills for the course's learning outcomes in practice | The portfolio demonstrates the student's ability to use the knowledge and skills for the course learning outcomes in practice is limited | The portfolio documents the acquisition of knowledge and skills for the course learning outcomes, with some ability to apply skills in practice | The portfolio demonstrates the student has mastered the knowledge and skills for the course learning outcomes and can apply skills in practice | |
| Reflection on Learning aligned with course learning outcomes | The portfolio provides little or no evidence of reflection to increase learning aligned with the course learning outcomes for which credit is being sought | The portfolio provides inadequate evidence of reflection to increase learning aligned with the course learning outcomes for which credit is being sought | The portfolio provides evidence of reflection to increase learning aligned with the course learning outcomes for which credit is being sought | The portfolio shows that the student has reflected with substantial depth upon how the prior learning experience is aligned to the course learning outcomes for which credit is being sought | |
| Presentation completeness and quality of portfolio presentation | Assembly instructions have not been followed with critical portfolio elements not included; the quality of written, visual and/or digital presentation does not meet postsecondary standard | Most of the expected elements are included; the quality of written, visual and/or digital presentation does not meet postsecondary standards with errors in spelling, grammar and punctuation | The portfolio is well organized with all critical elements included; the quality of written, visual and/or digital the presentation is competent with minor errors in spelling, grammar and punctuation | The portfolio is well organized with all critical elements included; learning is well documented with writing and production skills that exceed those of most college students | |
| Overall Assessment | The recommended cut score for a successful (i.e., passing) portfolio is 12, with a score of at least 1 in each of the six assessment criteria per course. | | | | |
| Evaluator: Title: Signature: Date | | | | Date | |

Individual Faculty Evaluator Outcome

| Course | Total Score | For Committee Chair - Outcome: Credit Granted |
|--------|-------------|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| PLC Committee Chair/Advisor: | Title: | Signature: | Date | |
|------------------------------|--------|-------------|------|--|
| | | orginatarer | 2410 | |

Resource:

PLC Rubric developed by Stark State College 6200 Frank Ave. NW, North Canton, OH 44720 https://www.starkstate.edu/admissions/priorlearning/

SUNY Canton PLC Policy, Templates, Information:

Associate of Science, Early Childhood

https://www.canton.edu/business/early_childhood/prior/

Bachelor of Business Administration Early Childhood Care and Management

https://www.canton.edu/business/echd/prior/